



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of

Confirmation No. 8571

Franz SIEBERER

Attorney Docket No. 2004 0129A

Serial No.10/773,275

Group Art Unit 3679

Filed February 9, 2004

Examiner Ernesto Garcia

TRANSPORT SECURING ARRANGEMENT:

Mail Stop: APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS

## **REPLY BRIEF**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 41.41, Appellant respectfully submits this Reply Brief in response to the Examiner's Answer dated November 2, 2006. Entry of this Reply Brief is respectfully requested.

Initially, regarding the Examiner's Answer dated November 2, 2006, Appellant notes that a new grounds of rejection was contained therein for claim 6, which requires the personal approval of a Technology Center Director. The Examiner's Answer dated November 2, 2006, however, did not include the signature of the Technology Center Director (i.e., Donald T. Hajec). In addition, in a supplemental communication from the PTO dated December 19, 2006, although the Examiner indicates therein that the Technology Center Director has signed the Examiner's Answer, the copy of the signature page provided therewith once again does not include the signature of Technology Center Director (i.e., Donald T. Hajec).

Accordingly, as the Technology Center Director has not personally approved the new ground of rejection for claim 6 in the Examiner's Answer, Appellant submits that such a rejection is improper.

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE FEES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975

